

an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2137 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER (for Ms. SINEMA (for herself, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. CASSIDY, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. TESTER, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. ROMNEY)) to the bill H.R. 3684, to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of division I, add the following:

SEC. 90009. NEGOTIATIONS WITH RESPECT TO IMPORTATION OF GRAIN-ORIENTED ELECTRICAL STEEL FOR USE IN THE PRODUCTION OF ELECTRIC GRID TRANSFORMERS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The United States Trade Representative shall immediately seek to enter into negotiations with Canada and Mexico to ensure that—

(1) the national security of the United States is not impaired by the importation into the United States of grain-oriented electrical steel in the form of core parts, cores, or laminations for use in the production of electric grid transformers; and

(2) Canada and Mexico are not being used as pass-through countries for other countries engaged in the dumping (as defined in section 771 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1677)) of such steel.

(b) REPORTS REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 90 days thereafter until the date described in paragraph (2), the Trade Representative shall submit to Congress a report on the status of the negotiations described in subsection (a).

(2) DATE DESCRIBED.—The date described in this paragraph is the date on which the President certifies to Congress that Canada and Mexico have agreed to measures that will prevent the importation in the United States of grain-oriented electrical steel in the form of core parts, cores, or laminations from impairing the national security of the United States.

SA 2531. Mr. CRUZ (for himself and Mr. KELLY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2137 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER (for Ms. SINEMA (for herself, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. CASSIDY, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. TESTER, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. ROMNEY)) to the bill H.R. 3684, to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

In section 11104, strike subsection (c) and insert the following:

(c) ADJUSTMENTS TO CERTAIN STATE APPORTIONMENT AMOUNTS.—Section 104 of title 23, United States Code, is amended by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following:

“(c) CALCULATION OF AMOUNTS.—

“(1) STATE SHARE.—For fiscal year 2022 and each fiscal year thereafter, the amount for each State of combined apportionments for the national highway performance program under section 119, the surface transportation block grant program under section 133, the highway safety improvement program under section 148, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149, the national highway freight program under section 167, the carbon reduction program under section 175, to carry out sub-

section (c) of the PROTECT program under section 176, and to carry out section 134 shall be determined as follows:

“(A) INITIAL AMOUNT.—The initial amount for each State shall be determined by multiplying the total amount available for apportionment by the share for each State, which shall be equal to the proportion that—

“(i) the amount of apportionments that the State received for fiscal year 2012; bears to

“(ii) the amount of those apportionments received by all States for that fiscal year.

“(B) ADJUSTMENTS TO AMOUNTS.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—The initial amounts resulting from the calculation under subparagraph (A) shall be adjusted to ensure that, for each State, the amount of combined apportionments for the programs shall not be less than an amount equal to—

“(I) 95 percent of the applicable percentage; multiplied by

“(II) the total amount of funds available for apportionment.

“(ii) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the applicable percentage shall be an amount, expressed as a percentage, equal to the quotient of—

“(I) the estimated tax payments attributable to highway users in the State that were paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for the most recent fiscal year for which data are available; divided by

“(II) the estimated total tax payments attributable to users in all States that were paid into the Highway Trust Fund (other than the Mass Transit Account) for that fiscal year.

“(2) STATE APPORTIONMENT.—On October 1 of each fiscal year described in paragraph (1), the Secretary shall apportion the sum authorized to be appropriated for expenditure on the national highway performance program under section 119, the surface transportation block grant program under section 133, the highway safety improvement program under section 148, the congestion mitigation and air quality improvement program under section 149, the national highway freight program under section 167, the carbon reduction program under section 175, to carry out subsection (c) of the PROTECT program under section 176, and to carry out section 134 in accordance with paragraph (1).”.

SA 2532. Ms. HASSAN (for herself and Ms. ERNST) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2137 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER (for Ms. SINEMA (for herself, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. MANCHIN, Mr. CASSIDY, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. TESTER, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. WARNER, and Mr. ROMNEY)) to the bill H.R. 3684, to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. ____ . SAVING FEDERAL FUNDS BY AUTHORIZING CHANGES TO THE COMPOSITION OF CIRCULATING COINS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5112 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(bb) COMPOSITION OF CIRCULATING COINS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and subject to the other provisions of this subsection, the Director of the United States Mint (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Director’), in consultation with the Secretary, may modify

the metallic composition of circulating coins to a new metallic composition (including by prescribing reasonable manufacturing tolerances with respect to those coins) if a study and analysis conducted by the United States Mint, including solicitation of input, including input on acceptor tolerances and requirements, from industry stakeholders who could be affected by changes in the composition of circulating coins, indicates that the modification will—

“(A) reduce costs incurred by the taxpayers of the United States;

“(B) be seamless, which shall mean the same diameter and weight as United States coinage being minted on the date of enactment of this subsection and that the coins will work interchangeably in most coin acceptors using electromagnetic signature technology; and

“(C) have as minimal an adverse impact as possible on the public and stakeholders.

“(2) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.—On the date that is at least 90 legislative days before the date on which the Director begins making a modification described in paragraph (1), the Director shall submit to Congress notice that—

“(A) provides a justification for the modification, including the support for that modification in the study and analysis required under paragraph (1) with respect to the modification;

“(B) describes how the modification will reduce costs incurred by the taxpayers of the United States;

“(C) certifies that the modification will be seamless, as described in paragraph (1)(B); and

“(D) certifies that the modification will have as minimal an adverse impact as possible on the public and stakeholders.

“(3) CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORITY.—The Director may begin making a modification proposed under this subsection not earlier than the date that is 90 legislative days after the date on which the Director submits to Congress the notice required under paragraph (2) with respect to that modification, unless Congress, during the period of 90 legislative days beginning on the date on which the Director submits that notice—

“(A) finds that the modification is not justified in light of the information contained in that notice; and

“(B) enacts a joint resolution of disapproval of the proposed modification.

“(4) PROCEDURES.—For purpose of paragraph (3)—

“(A) a joint resolution of disapproval is a joint resolution the matter after the resolving clause of which is as follows: ‘That Congress disapproves the modification submitted by the Director of the United States Mint.’; and

“(B) the procedural rules in the House of Representatives and the Senate for a joint resolution of disapproval described in that paragraph shall be the same as provided for a joint resolution of disapproval under chapter 8 of title 5.”.

(b) DETERMINATION OF BUDGETARY EFFECTS.—The budgetary effects of this section, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this section, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the House Budget Committee, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

SA 2533. Mr. PETERS (for himself and Mr. LUJAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to